IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

JOSHUA FLATI,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:15-CV-47 (BAILEY)

C/O RON WAYT, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Michael John Aloi [Doc. 56]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Aloi for submission of a proposed report and recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Aloi filed his R&R on October 17, 2016, wherein he recommends this Court deny the defendants' Motion to Dismiss and issue a scheduling order setting forth the time periods for all pretrial matters.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Snyder v.*

1

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Aloi's R&R were due within

fourteen (14) days of service, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

This Court notes that all parties in this matter are represented by counsel; therefore,

service was rendered on the date the R&R was electronically filed. To date, no objections

have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the **Report and**

Recommendation [Doc. 56] should be, and is, hereby ORDERED ADOPTED for the

reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, this Court

ORDERS that the defendants' Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 52] be DENIED. This Court also

notes that it has issued an Order and Notice Regarding Discovery and Scheduling [Doc.

57], and a scheduling order will be forthcoming.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to all counsel of record herein.

DATED: November 4, 2016.

ED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2